THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE D.APPA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO.10304 of 2006

24th May 2006

Between:

Mullah Abdullah, S/o.Miskin Saheb.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. A.P.Residential Educational Institutions Society, Gagan Vihar, 3rd Floor, Nampally, Hyderabad, rep.by its Secretary.

And one other.

...RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE D.APPA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO.10304 of 2006

ORDER:

Heard both sides.

At their request, the Writ Petition itself is taken up for disposal at the admission stage.

The petitioner has been working as a Lecturer since 11.10.2002 on contract basis in the A.P.Residential Educational Institutions Society/second respondent. Initially he was paid an amount of Rs.4,000/- (Rupees four thousand only) per month for a period of two years and thereafter at Rs.5,500/- (Rupees five thousand five hundred only) per month. The second respondent/Society issued an Employment Notification

on 04.05.2006 calling for applications for appointment of Junior Lecturers on contract basis by fixing the last date of receipt of applications on 27.05.2006. Admittedly, the petitioner crossed 34 years of age by the date of notification. Further, column No.6 of the Employment Notification mandates that the candidate should complete 18 years of age and by 01.07.2006 he should not complete 34 years of age.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that by virtue of this notification, the petitioner cannot even apply to this post let alone be considered. When already Lecturers appointed under contract basis are working, there is no meaning in issuing notification for appointment on the very contract basis.

This is a camouflage or ruse not to extend the contract for those Lecturers who were already appointed. However, the writ petitioner did not question the notification. What all he requested was that his candidature be considered by receiving his application. This request, I feel is reasonable and fair. As he has already been employed on contract basis, the respondents are directed to receive his application and consider the same on merits.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

(D.APPA RAO, J)

24th May 2006

Note: CC by tomorrow

B/O

RRB